



**KARLSTAD  
UNIVERSITY**

## Final report

### HT2025\_FEAD39\_47989\_Tjänstefiering i teori och praktik

First time registered students: 7

Answer Count: 3


Answer Frequency: 42.86%

The course evaluation could be answered during the period:

06/12/2025 - 20/12/2025

When collaborative courses, several course codes are shown below:

**FEAD39 Tjänstefiering i teori och praktik, End date: 2025-12-07**





Mean value for each question. Highest value = 4.


	Mean
Supportive Structure	3.3
Varied Teaching	2.7
Discussed the Subject	3.7
Challenging	2.7
Feedback Helped	2.7
Assessment Related to Teaching	4.0
Workload	2.0
Devoted Time	4.0
Prepared in Advance	4.0
Involved in Seminars	4.0
Involved in Lectures	3.7
Increased Interest	3.0

## Results of learning


**All in all, the course was valuable for me.**

*Courses that were considered valuable were related to personal development, acquisition of new knowledge and skills, understanding of something. Higher ratings can refer to students' perceived development (learned a lot, and it was useful). Lower ratings can refer to scanty development of knowledge and skills or not understanding certain themes or their parts, not understanding the necessity and significance of the course, problems in the learning environment.*

	Mean
All in all, the course was valuable for me	3



## How likely would you be to recommend this course to a friend or colleague?



**Net Promoter Score (NPS) = 0**

Promoters = 1 (33.3%)

Passives = 1 (33.3%)

Detractors = 1 (33.3%)

The Net Promoter Score (NPS) is a metric that measures student experience and predicts the effectiveness of a course. It calculates an NPS score based on a key question using a 0-10 scale, asking how likely students would recommend the course to others. Respondents are grouped into Promoters, Passives, or Detractors based on their score, and the NPS is calculated by subtracting the percentage of Detractors from the percentage of Promoters. The NPS is a core metric for course evaluation programs and is trusted by educational institutions to engage their students and improve their learning experience performance.

## Comments

### Course supervisor's comments

The students' feedback will be used to further improve the course for the next term. In particular, we will review how the first-semester courses in the master's program connect to one another, ensuring that the overall structure offers a clearer and more coherent progression. By strengthening the links between the courses and making sure each step builds naturally on previous knowledge, we aim to create an even better learning experience for the students.