

PUBLISHED COURSE ANALYSIS



Publishing date: 2018-02-08

A course analysis has been carried out and published by the course convener.

The Karlstad University evaluation tool is owned by the Professional Development Unit and is managed by the systems group for educational administration, Student Centre.

Project for sustainable development, 7.5 ETCS cr. (EMGA80)

Course convener: Maria Sandberg

Basic LADOK data

Course Code: EMGA80

Application Code: 28697

Semester: HT-16

Start Week: 201635

End Week: 201803

Pace of Study: 10%

Form of Study: Campus


Course Data

Number of questionnaires answered: 5

Number of first registrations^[1]: 9

Changes suggested in the course analysis of the previous course date:

1. During the course I developed the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




A) To a very great extent

B) To a great extent

C) To a certain extent


D) To a very little extent/Not at all

2. In the examinations, I had the opportunity to demonstrate if I have acquired the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

3. On average, I spent the following number of hours on coursework per week:



- A) More than 40 hours (or more than 20 hrs at 50% study pace, more than 10 hrs at 25% study pace)
- B) Between 30-39 hours (or between 15-19 at 50% study pace, between 8-10 at 25% study pace)
- C) Between 20-29 hours (or between 10-14 at 50% study pace, between 5-7 at 25% study pace)
- D) Less than 20 hours (or less than 10 at 50% study pace, less than 5 at 25% study pace)

4. During the course, I have found that teachers and other staff have been:



- A) Professional and very accommodating
- B) Professional and accommodating
- C) Professional
- D) Deficient

should also be analysed here. Any effect of joint courses should be commented on.

The course includes a number of seminars and a project examined with a report. The seminars were interesting and the students contributed in a good way to the groups knowledge development. However, there were big differences between the projects character due to the subject chosen by the students.

Suggestions for changes to the next course date.

Next time, the rules for the project has to be more accurate, to avoid the big differences between the projects.

1. **Number of first registrations for a course:** First registration = the first time a student registers for a specific course.