

PUBLISHED COURSE ANALYSIS



Publishing date: 2016-07-13

A course analysis has been carried out and published by the course convener.

The Karlstad University evaluation tool is owned by the Professional Development Unit and is managed by the systems group for educational administration, Student Centre.

General Project Management Methodology, 7.5 ETCS cr. (PLGA01)

Course convener: Per Strömgren

Basic LADOK data

Course Code: PLGA01

Application Code: 25150

Semester: VT-16

Start Week: 201614

End Week: 201623

Pace of Study: 50%

Form of Study: Campus

Course Data


Number of questionnaires answered: 15

Number of first registrations^[1]: 41

Changes suggested in the course analysis of the previous course date:


The course has been rather heavily modified, based on a general maintenance of the project management program. New examinations has been added, and the course is partly based on students learning from recorded lectures.

1. During the course I developed the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

2. In the examinations, I had the opportunity to demonstrate if I have acquired the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

3. On average, I spent the following number of hours on coursework per week:



- A) More than 40 hours (or more than 20 hrs at 50% study pace, more than 10 hrs at 25% study pace)
- B) Between 30-39 hours (or between 15-19 at 50% study pace, between 8-10 at 25% study pace)
- C) Between 20-29 hours (or between 10-14 at 50% study pace, between 5-7 at 25% study pace)
- D) Less than 20 hours (or less than 10 at 50% study pace, less than 5 at 25% study pace)

4. During the course, I have found that teachers and other staff have been:



- A) Professional and very accommodating
- B) Professional and accommodating
- C) Professional
- D) Deficient

should also be analysed here. Any effect of joint courses should be commented on.

The outcome shows that we have added too much of D-level (advanced level) academic material, which, however, suits some students very well. On the whole, the students are satisfied with the course and its content.

Suggestions for changes to the next course date.

Remove some D-level information.

Add more hand-on coaching sessions to the lab exercise.

-
1. **Number of first registrations for a course:** First registration = the first time a student registers for a specific course.