



Final report

HT2025_ISAD19_47800_Forskningsperspektiv på AR och VR (augmented and virtual reality)

First time registered students: 14

Answer Count: 3


Answer Frequency: 21.43%

The course evaluation could be answered during the period:

17/01/2026 - 31/01/2026

When collaborative courses, several course codes are shown below:

ISAD19 Forskningsperspektiv på AR och VR (augmented and virtual reality), End date: 2026-01-18





Mean value for each question. Highest value = 4.


	Mean
Supportive Structure	2.7
Varied Teaching	2.7
Discussed the Subject	2.7
Challenging	3.0
Feedback Helped	2.7
Assessment Related to Teaching	2.7
Workload	2.7
Devoted Time	3.7
Prepared in Advance	4.0
Involved in Seminars	4.0
Involved in Lectures	3.0
Increased Interest	2.3

Results of learning


All in all, the course was valuable for me.

Courses that were considered valuable were related to personal development, acquisition of new knowledge and skills, understanding of something. Higher ratings can refer to students' perceived development (learned a lot, and it was useful). Lower ratings can refer to scanty development of knowledge and skills or not understanding certain themes or their parts, not understanding the necessity and significance of the course, problems in the learning environment.

	Mean
All in all, the course was valuable for me	3



How likely would you be to recommend this course to a friend or colleague?



Net Promoter Score (NPS) = -33.33

Promoters = 1 (33.3%)

Passives = 0 (0%)

Detractors = 2 (66.7%)

The Net Promoter Score (NPS) is a metric that measures student experience and predicts the effectiveness of a course. It calculates an NPS score based on a key question using a 0-10 scale, asking how likely students would recommend the course to others. Respondents are grouped into Promoters, Passives, or Detractors based on their score, and the NPS is calculated by subtracting the percentage of Detractors from the percentage of Promoters. The NPS is a core metric for course evaluation programs and is trusted by educational institutions to engage their students and improve their learning experience performance.

Comments

Course supervisor's comments

The course evaluation indicates that few students' expectations, particularly regarding practical assignments, did not fully align with the syllabus and learning outcomes of the course. Concerns about workload and academic focus also seem to reflect comparisons with bachelor-level studies, without fully taking into account the higher expectations at this level. However, the added guest lectures were appreciated. For future course development, we will consider increasing the course hours to include more practical elements while maintaining the course's academic standards.