



### Final report

### HT2024\_MAAD02\_43881\_Kinetisk teori

First time registered students: 6

Answer Count: 1


Answer Frequency: 16.67%

The course evaluation could be answered during the period:

18/01/2025 - 01/02/2025

When collaborative courses, several course codes are shown below:

**MAAD02 Kinetisk teori, End date: 2025-01-19**





Mean value for each question. Highest value = 4.


	Mean
Supportive Structure	4.0
Varied Teaching	3.0
Discussed the Subject	4.0
Challenging	4.0
Feedback Helped	4.0
Assessment Related to Teaching	4.0
Workload	2.0
Devoted Time	3.0
Prepared in Advance	3.0
Involved in Seminars	0.0
Involved in Lectures	4.0
Increased Interest	2.0

## Results of learning


**All in all, the course was valuable for me.**

*Courses that were considered valuable were related to personal development, acquisition of new knowledge and skills, understanding of something. Higher ratings can refer to students' perceived development (learned a lot, and it was useful). Lower ratings can refer to scanty development of knowledge and skills or not understanding certain themes or their parts, not understanding the necessity and significance of the course, problems in the learning environment.*

	Mean
All in all, the course was valuable for me	3



## How likely would you be to recommend this course to a friend or colleague?



**Net Promoter Score (NPS) = -100**

Promoters = 0 (0%)

Passives = 0 (0%)

Detractors = 1 (100%)

The Net Promoter Score (NPS) is a metric that measures student experience and predicts the effectiveness of a course. It calculates an NPS score based on a key question using a 0-10 scale, asking how likely students would recommend the course to others. Respondents are grouped into Promoters, Passives, or Detractors based on their score, and the NPS is calculated by subtracting the percentage of Detractors from the percentage of Promoters. The NPS is a core metric for course evaluation programs and is trusted by educational institutions to engage their students and improve their learning experience performance.



**KARLSTAD  
UNIVERSITY**

## **Comments**

### **Course supervisor's comments**

Only one out of five students did answer the inquiry. Having that and the actual answers in mind, no essential differences to last year's evaluation (when all except one did answer) may be perceived. To some extent the students seemed less active this year, which also can be seen in the (so far) results obtained in the course.

Most of the students had issues with their documents and had to be absent (or on distance) for some part of the course to take care of that, which is an essential issue. The student answering, commented that the course is niched, which might influence the engagement of the students, if they have that feeling.