



Final report

VT2024_IKGA26_L3454_Interkultur: Kultur och humanitära kriser

First time registered students: 10


Answer Count: 0

Answer Frequency: 0.00%

The course evaluation could be answered during the period:

23/03/2024 - 06/04/2024

IKGA26 Interkultur: Kultur och humanitära kriser, End date: 2024-03-24





Mean value for each question. Highest value = 4.


	Mean
Supportive Structure	0.0
Varied Teaching	0.0
Discussed the Subject	0.0
Challenging	0.0
Feedback Helped	0.0
Assessment Related to Teaching	0.0
Workload	0.0
Devoted Time	0.0
Prepared in Advance	0.0
Involved in Seminars	0.0
Involved in Lectures	0.0
Increased Interest	0.0

Results of learning


All in all, the course was valuable for me.

Courses that were considered valuable were related to personal development, acquisition of new knowledge and skills, understanding of something. Higher ratings can refer to students' perceived development (learned a lot, and it was useful). Lower ratings can refer to scanty development of knowledge and skills or not understanding certain themes or their parts, not understanding the necessity and significance of the course, problems in the learning environment.

	Mean
All in all, the course was valuable for me	0



How likely would you be to recommend this course to a friend or colleague?



Net Promoter Score (NPS) = NaN

Promoters = 0 (NaN%)

Passives = 0 (NaN%)

Detractors = 0 (NaN%)

The Net Promoter Score (NPS) is a metric that measures student experience and predicts the effectiveness of a course. It calculates an NPS score based on a key question using a 0-10 scale, asking how likely students would recommend the course to others. Respondents are grouped into Promoters, Passives, or Detractors based on their score, and the NPS is calculated by subtracting the percentage of Detractors from the percentage of Promoters. The NPS is a core metric for course evaluation programs and is trusted by educational institutions to engage their students and improve their learning experience performance.

Comments

Course supervisor's comments

The course has ran for the second time and went well. 14 students completed the course. There were some routine adjustments/improvements made from the first time the course ran such as introducing fewer seminars, but increasing the duration of each seminar, as well as restructuring the submission of different written assignments. Student feedback was solicited in various ways, orally and in writing, formally and informally. The teacher has made an additional survey consisting of 8 open-ended questions in total and received 6 responses. The responses showed that the changes introduced in the course were well received and these changes will be kept for the third time the course will run.