PUBLISHED COURSE ANALYSIS



Publishing date: 2023-09-11

A course analysis has been carried out and published by the course convener.

The Karlstad University evaluation tool is owned by the Professional Development Unit and is managed by the systems group for educational administration.

Data, Power and Ethics, 15.0 ECTS cr. (MKGB92)

Course convener: Cornelia Brantner


Basic LADOK data Course Data

Course Code: Number of questionnaires answered: 3 MKGB92 Application Code: 40375 Number of first registrations^[1]: 16

VT-23 Semester: 202313 Start Week: End Week: 202322 Pace of Study: 100% Form of Study: Campus


Changes suggested in the course analysis of the previous course date:

1. The contents and structure of the course has supported the achievement of the learning outcomes




- A) To a very large extent
- B) To a large extent
- C) To some extent
- D) To a little extent or not at all

2. The assessments included in the course have given me the opportunity to demonstrate my achievement of the learning outcomes




- A) To a very large extent
- B) To a large extent
- C) To some extent
- D) To a little extent or not at all

3. My workload (including scheduled activities and independent work) during the course has been



- A) 40 hours per week or more (or 20 per week or more for courses given as half-time studies, 10 hours or more for courses.
- B) Between 30 and 39 hours per week (or between 15 and 19 hours for courses given as half-time studies, or between 8
- C) Between 20 and 29 hours per week (or between 10 and 14 hours for courses given as half-time studies, or between 5
- D) Less than 20 hours per week (or less than 10 hours per week for courses given as half-time studies, or less than 5 h

4. During the course, I have experienced the reception from teachers and other staff as professional



- A) To a very large extent
- B) To a large extent
- C) To some extent
- D) To a little extent or not at all

on.

The evaluation was completed by only 3 students and thus the number of responses is somewhat low to draw general conclusions about the course.

Compared to the last two years, changes were made in the second part of the course, which were not as well received by the students as hoped for. The first module was explicitly highlighted in a positive way.

Suggestions for changes to the next course date.

The evaluation largely refers to a course part that was modified this year. I therefore suggest that the structure of the entire course as well as the specific exams and exam instructions be changed back to last year's format.

1. **Number of first registrations for a course:** First registration = the first time a student registers for a specific course