



Final report

VT2024_MKGB63_42428_Webbdesign II

First time registered students: 25


Answer Count: 5

Answer Frequency: 20.00%

The course evaluation could be answered during the period:

01/06/2024 - 15/06/2024

MKGB63 Webbdesign II, End date: 2024-06-02





Mean value for each question. Highest value = 4.


	Mean
Supportive Structure	3.8
Varied Teaching	3.8
Discussed the Subject	3.8
Challenging	3.4
Feedback Helped	3.8
Assessment Related to Teaching	3.8
Workload	2.0
Devoted Time	3.4
Prepared in Advance	3.2
Involved in Seminars	4.0
Involved in Lectures	3.0
Increased Interest	4.0

Results of learning


All in all, the course was valuable for me.

Courses that were considered valuable were related to personal development, acquisition of new knowledge and skills, understanding of something. Higher ratings can refer to students' perceived development (learned a lot, and it was useful). Lower ratings can refer to scanty development of knowledge and skills or not understanding certain themes or their parts, not understanding the necessity and significance of the course, problems in the learning environment.

	Mean
All in all, the course was valuable for me	4



How likely would you be to recommend this course to a friend or colleague?



Net Promoter Score (NPS) = 60

Promoters = 3 (60%)

Passives = 2 (40%)

Detractors = 0 (0%)

The Net Promoter Score (NPS) is a metric that measures student experience and predicts the effectiveness of a course. It calculates an NPS score based on a key question using a 0-10 scale, asking how likely students would recommend the course to others. Respondents are grouped into Promoters, Passives, or Detractors based on their score, and the NPS is calculated by subtracting the percentage of Detractors from the percentage of Promoters. The NPS is a core metric for course evaluation programs and is trusted by educational institutions to engage their students and improve their learning experience performance.



**KARLSTAD
UNIVERSITY**

Comments

Course supervisor's comments

With few students taking the survey, it is hard to draw any significant conclusions. There is one complaint about WordPress, as the students are supposed to have prior knowledge but obviously do not, unless they have acquired it on their own. Still, we can probably make it clearer on how to work with the group assignment to alleviate some of the frustration, and also connect back to prior courses to ensure that they have some prior knowledge.

Some students complain that the course runs in tandem with several other courses, and thus some assignments feel difficult. However, we also need to remember that several students are taking more than a full course load this semester.

Overall, though, most of the students who responded seem to be satisfied with the course.