



**KARLSTAD
UNIVERSITY**

Final report

HT2025_ENAL08_47354_Teoretiska perspektiv på litterära och kulturella förhandlingar

First time registered students: 13

Answer Count: 0


Answer Frequency: 0.00%

The course evaluation could be answered during the period:

17/01/2026 - 31/01/2026

When collaborative courses, several course codes are shown below:

ENAL08 Teoretiska perspektiv på litterära och kulturella förhandlingar, End date: 2026-01-18





Mean value for each question. Highest value = 4.


	Mean
Supportive Structure	0.0
Varied Teaching	0.0
Discussed the Subject	0.0
Challenging	0.0
Feedback Helped	0.0
Assessment Related to Teaching	0.0
Workload	0.0
Devoted Time	0.0
Prepared in Advance	0.0
Involved in Seminars	0.0
Involved in Lectures	0.0
Increased Interest	0.0

Results of learning

All in all, the course was valuable for me.


Courses that were considered valuable were related to personal development, acquisition of new knowledge and skills, understanding of something. Higher ratings can refer to students' perceived development (learned a lot, and it was useful). Lower ratings can refer to scanty development of knowledge and skills or not understanding certain themes or their parts, not understanding the necessity and significance of the course, problems in the learning environment.

	Mean
All in all, the course was valuable for me	0



How likely would you be to recommend this course to a friend or colleague?

Net Promoter Score (NPS) = NaN



Promoters = 0 (NaN%)

Passives = 0 (NaN%)

Detractors = 0 (NaN%)

The Net Promoter Score (NPS) is a metric that measures student experience and predicts the effectiveness of a course. It calculates an NPS score based on a key question using a 0-10 scale, asking how likely students would recommend the course to others. Respondents are grouped into Promoters, Passives, or Detractors based on their score, and the NPS is calculated by subtracting the percentage of Detractors from the percentage of Promoters. The NPS is a core metric for course evaluation programs and is trusted by educational institutions to engage their students and improve their learning experience performance.

Comments

Course supervisor's comments

Unfortunately, no students submitted an evaluation. I do not consider it possible to infer anything from this other than the fact that we should encourage the students to do so at the last seminar. This has been the best year so far as regards attendance and the students' active engagement at seminars. It has also been one of the most successful years when it comes to the numbers of students that successfully finished the course.