

PUBLISHED COURSE ANALYSIS



Publishing date: 2016-11-21

A course analysis has been carried out and published by the course convener.

The Karlstad University evaluation tool is owned by the Professional Development Unit and is managed by the systems group for educational administration, Student Centre.

Materials in Industrial Applications, 7.5 ETCS cr. (MTAD10)

Course convener: Pavel Krakhmalev

Basic LADOK data

Course Code: MTAD10

Application Code: 27519

Semester: HT-16

Start Week: 201635

End Week: 201644

Pace of Study: 50%

Form of Study: Campus


Course Data

Number of questionnaires answered: 10

Number of first registrations^[1]: 22


Changes suggested in the course analysis of the previous course date:

1. During the course I developed the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

2. In the examinations, I had the opportunity to demonstrate if I have acquired the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

3. On average, I spent the following number of hours on coursework per week:



- A) More than 40 hours (or more than 20 hrs at 50% study pace, more than 10 hrs at 25% study pace)
- B) Between 30-39 hours (or between 15-19 at 50% study pace, between 8-10 at 25% study pace)
- C) Between 20-29 hours (or between 10-14 at 50% study pace, between 5-7 at 25% study pace)
- D) Less than 20 hours (or less than 10 at 50% study pace, less than 5 at 25% study pace)

4. During the course, I have found that teachers and other staff have been:



- A) Professional and very accommodating
- B) Professional and accommodating
- C) Professional
- D) Deficient

should also be analysed here. Any effect of joint courses should be commented on.

10 of 22 answered, which is less than 50%. The course was evaluated good, students mentioned development of knowledge and skills to high extent. Multiple choice questions exam also was evaluated as good way to evaluate obtained knowledge. Some criticism was obtained on teaching style and communication at seminars.

Suggestions for changes to the next course date.

Minor improvements in lecture notes will be done. Accordingly to students' requests, some updates on literature and references for a part Composites will be done.

Comments related to teaching style and seminars will be discussed between teachers to improve learning process in the future. A possibility to have both teachers in the seminars will be discussed.

-
1. **Number of first registrations for a course:** First registration = the first time a student registers for a specific course.