

PUBLISHED COURSE ANALYSIS



Publishing date: 2017-08-21

A course analysis has been carried out and published by the course convener.

The Karlstad University evaluation tool is owned by the Professional Development Unit and is managed by the systems group for educational administration, Student Centre.

Surface Physics, 7.5 ETCS cr. (FYAE03)

Course convener: Hanmin Zhang

Basic LADOK data

Course Code: FYAE03

Application Code: 27546

Semester: VT-17

Start Week: 201714

End Week: 201723

Pace of Study: 50%

Form of Study: Campus

Course Data


Number of questionnaires answered: 3

Number of first registrations^[1]: 6

Changes suggested in the course analysis of the previous course date:

The written examination has been improved this year, for example, to be broader in its scope etc. The result looks very good.

1. During the course I developed the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




A) To a very great extent

B) To a great extent

C) To a certain extent


D) To a very little extent/Not at all

2. In the examinations, I had the opportunity to demonstrate if I have acquired the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

3. On average, I spent the following number of hours on coursework per week:



- A) More than 40 hours (or more than 20 hrs at 50% study pace, more than 10 hrs at 25% study pace)
- B) Between 30-39 hours (or between 15-19 at 50% study pace, between 8-10 at 25% study pace)
- C) Between 20-29 hours (or between 10-14 at 50% study pace, between 5-7 at 25% study pace)
- D) Less than 20 hours (or less than 10 at 50% study pace, less than 5 at 25% study pace)

4. During the course, I have found that teachers and other staff have been:



- A) Professional and very accommodating
- B) Professional and accommodating
- C) Professional
- D) Deficient

should also be analysed here. Any effect of joint courses should be commented on.

The comments from the students and the grades from the questionnaire show that the course nicely fulfilled the tasks and goals stated in the syllabus. It seems that the workload was a little bit high to some students. But I think they were properly designed to motivate the students to go through the course content.

Suggestions for changes to the next course date.

More comments and feedback on homework.

-
1. **Number of first registrations for a course:** First registration = the first time a student registers for a specific course.