



Final report

VT2025_CBAD80_46616_Nanovetenskap II

First time registered students: 9

Answer Count: 3


Answer Frequency: 33.33%

The course evaluation could be answered during the period:

29/03/2025 - 12/04/2025

When collaborative courses, several course codes are shown below:

CBAD80 Nanovetenskap II, End date: 2025-03-30





Mean value for each question. Highest value = 4.


	Mean
Supportive Structure	3.0
Varied Teaching	2.7
Discussed the Subject	4.0
Challenging	3.7
Feedback Helped	2.3
Assessment Related to Teaching	3.7
Workload	2.0
Devoted Time	3.3
Prepared in Advance	3.7
Involved in Seminars	3.7
Involved in Lectures	3.3
Increased Interest	3.3

Results of learning

All in all, the course was valuable for me.


Courses that were considered valuable were related to personal development, acquisition of new knowledge and skills, understanding of something. Higher ratings can refer to students' perceived development (learned a lot, and it was useful). Lower ratings can refer to scanty development of knowledge and skills or not understanding certain themes or their parts, not understanding the necessity and significance of the course, problems in the learning environment.

	Mean
All in all, the course was valuable for me	3



How likely would you be to recommend this course to a friend or colleague?

Net Promoter Score (NPS) = 0



Promoters = 1 (33.3%)

Passives = 1 (33.3%)

Detractors = 1 (33.3%)

The Net Promoter Score (NPS) is a metric that measures student experience and predicts the effectiveness of a course. It calculates an NPS score based on a key question using a 0-10 scale, asking how likely students would recommend the course to others. Respondents are grouped into Promoters, Passives, or Detractors based on their score, and the NPS is calculated by subtracting the percentage of Detractors from the percentage of Promoters. The NPS is a core metric for course evaluation programs and is trusted by educational institutions to engage their students and improve their learning experience performance.



Kommentarer

Course supervisor's comments

This year the Nanoscience II course went very smooth. Majority of the students attended the lectures and all students finished their home works. Three of nine students answered the survey. The grades from the questionnaire show that this course has accomplished the goals stated in the syllabus. The combination of the lectures and the seminars seemed to efficiently motivate the students. The final examination results show that the course task has been fulfilled in general. However, the grade on the feedbacks helped is 2.3, which may have a space to be improved.

The same activities are expected for the next year.