

PUBLISHED COURSE ANALYSIS



Publishing date: 2019-04-30

A course analysis has been carried out and published by the course convener.

The Karlstad University evaluation tool is owned by the Professional Development Unit and is managed by the systems group for educational administration.

Models and Experimental Methods in Multimedia Development, 15.0 ETCS cr. (ISAD15)

Course convener: John Sören Pettersson

Basic LADOK data

Course Code: ISAD15

Application Code: 32761

Semester: VT-19

Start Week: 201904

End Week: 201913

Pace of Study: 100%

Form of Study: Campus

Course Data


Number of questionnaires answered: 4

Number of first registrations^[1]: 6

Changes suggested in the course analysis of the previous course date:


--

1. During the course I developed the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

2. In the examinations, I had the opportunity to demonstrate if I have acquired the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

3. On average, I spent the following number of hours on coursework per week:



- A) More than 40 hours (or more than 20 hrs at 50% study pace, more than 10 hrs at 25% study pace)
- B) Between 30-39 hours (or between 15-19 at 50% study pace, between 8-10 at 25% study pace)
- C) Between 20-29 hours (or between 10-14 at 50% study pace, between 5-7 at 25% study pace)
- D) Less than 20 hours (or less than 10 at 50% study pace, less than 5 at 25% study pace)

4. During the course, I have found that teachers and other staff have been:



- A) Professional and very accommodating
- B) Professional and accommodating
- C) Professional
- D) Deficient

should also be analysed here. Any effect of joint courses should be commented on.

Students' knowledge and previous experience of evaluation and re-design differ very much between the students. For this reason, the variations in the amount of hours spent on the course should not be alarming. Two students had to work to sustain themselves and had not completed the course one month after it finished.

Suggestions for changes to the next course date.

The course has a good form, but the teacher could better follow up of students who substantially lag behind.

1. **Number of first registrations for a course:** First registration = the first time a student registers for a specific course.