

PUBLISHED COURSE ANALYSIS



Publishing date: 2018-12-03

A course analysis has been carried out and published by the course convener.

The Karlstad University evaluation tool is owned by the Professional Development Unit and is managed by the systems group for educational administration, Student Centre.

Computer Networking II, 7.5 ETCS cr. (DVGC02)

Course convener: Andreas Kassler

Basic LADOK data

Course Code: DVGC02

Application Code: 31706

Semester: HT-18

Start Week: 201835

End Week: 201844

Pace of Study: 50%

Form of Study: Campus

Course Data


Number of questionnaires answered: 4

Number of first registrations^[1]: 10

Changes suggested in the course analysis of the previous course date:


no changes suggested.

1. During the course I developed the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

2. In the examinations, I had the opportunity to demonstrate if I have acquired the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

3. On average, I spent the following number of hours on coursework per week:



- A) More than 40 hours (or more than 20 hrs at 50% study pace, more than 10 hrs at 25% study pace)
- B) Between 30-39 hours (or between 15-19 at 50% study pace, between 8-10 at 25% study pace)
- C) Between 20-29 hours (or between 10-14 at 50% study pace, between 5-7 at 25% study pace)
- D) Less than 20 hours (or less than 10 at 50% study pace, less than 5 at 25% study pace)

4. During the course, I have found that teachers and other staff have been:



- A) Professional and very accommodating
- B) Professional and accommodating
- C) Professional
- D) Deficient

should also be analysed here. Any effect of joint courses should be commented on.

Based on mutual agreement with students at the begin of the course, there was no written exam and instead, students had to deliver a project where they had to demonstrate their knowledge based on real use cases they had to implement, followed by a written report and oral presentation. Student really liked the project but started late.

Suggestions for changes to the next course date.

project should be started earlier and less reading and writing summaries should be done.

1. **Number of first registrations for a course:** First registration = the first time a student registers for a specific course.