



Final report

VT2025_MKGB94_45969_Projektarbete i medieanalys

First time registered students: 17

Answer Count: 2


Answer Frequency: 11.76%

The course evaluation could be answered during the period:

03/05/2025 - 17/05/2025

When collaborative courses, several course codes are shown below:

MKGB94 Projektarbete i medieanalys, End date: 2025-05-04





Mean value for each question. Highest value = 4.


	Mean
Supportive Structure	4.0
Varied Teaching	4.0
Discussed the Subject	4.0
Challenging	4.0
Feedback Helped	3.5
Assessment Related to Teaching	4.0
Workload	2.0
Devoted Time	4.0
Prepared in Advance	4.0
Involved in Seminars	4.0
Involved in Lectures	4.0
Increased Interest	4.0

Results of learning

All in all, the course was valuable for me.

Courses that were considered valuable were related to personal development, acquisition of new knowledge and skills, understanding of something. Higher ratings can refer to students' perceived development (learned a lot, and it was useful). Lower ratings can refer to scanty development of knowledge and skills or not understanding certain themes or their parts, not understanding the necessity and significance of the course, problems in the learning environment.

	Mean
All in all, the course was valuable for me	4




How likely would you be to recommend this course to a friend or colleague?

Net Promoter Score (NPS) = 50

Promoters = 1 (50%)

Passives = 1 (50%)

Detractors = 0 (0%)



The Net Promoter Score (NPS) is a metric that measures student experience and predicts the effectiveness of a course. It calculates an NPS score based on a key question using a 0-10 scale, asking how likely students would recommend the course to others. Respondents are grouped into Promoters, Passives, or Detractors based on their score, and the NPS is calculated by subtracting the percentage of Detractors from the percentage of Promoters. The NPS is a core metric for course evaluation programs and is trusted by educational institutions to engage their students and improve their learning experience performance.

Comments

Course supervisor's comments

The course is mostly based on group supervision and individual work of the groups on their papers. I got feedback from all supervising teachers that supervision went smoothly. Judging from the quality of the papers, we are satisfied with the work of the groups. Overall, the papers and the peer reviews given in the final seminar met the standards, with minor exceptions. Some papers showed an exceptional quality in terms of data analysis and scientific rigor for that level. From the course convenors perspective, it was pleasant to see that some students completed a steep learning curve in terms of data analysis during the course.