PUBLISHED COURSE ANALYSIS



Publishing date: 2016-07-11

A course analysis has been carried out and published by the course convener.

The Karlstad University evaluation tool is owned by the Professional Development Unit and is managed by the systems group for educational administration, Student Centre.

American Literature, 1919 to the present, 7.5 ETCS cr. (ENADL6)

Course convener: Maria Holmgren Troy

Basic LADOK data Course Data


Course Code: ENADL6 Number of questionnaires answered: 1

Application Code: 26433 Number of first registrations^[1]:

Semester: VT-16
Start Week: 201614
End Week: 201623
Pace of Study: 50%
Form of Study: Distans


Changes suggested in the course analysis of the previous course date:

- -- There were no changes suggested.
 - 1. During the course I developed the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

2. In the examinations, I had the opportunity to demonstrate if I have acquired the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

3. On average, I spent the following number of hours on coursework per week:



- A) More than 40 hours (or more than 20 hrs at 50% study pace, more than 10 hrs at 25% study pace)
- B) Between 30-39 hours (or between 15-19 at 50% study pace, between 8-10 at 25% study pace)
- C) Between 20-29 hours (or between 10-14 at 50% study pace, between 5-7 at 25% study pace)
- D) Less than 20 hours (or less than 10 at 50% study pace, less than 5 at 25% study pace)

4. During the course, I have found that teachers and other staff have been:



- A) Professional and very accommodating
- B) Professional and accommodating
- C) Professional
- D) Deficient

should also be analysed here. Any effect of joint courses should be commented on.

I had an oral evaluation with the two students at the meeting in Karlstad; at that point they were very satisfied with the course. Both of them have handed in excellent written assignments during the course and performed very well orally. Unfortunately only one student filled in the electronic evaluation, but the result corresponds to what they told me at the meeting.

Suggestions for changes to the next course date.

There is no need to change anything based on the students' comments. The course works very well, indeed.

1. **Number of first registrations for a course:** First registration = the first time a student registers for a specific course.