



## Final report

### VT2025\_DVAD29\_46036\_Utvecklingsprojekt inom distribuerade applikationer

First time registered students: 26

Answer Count: 5


Answer Frequency: 19.23%

The course evaluation could be answered during the period:

07/06/2025 - 21/06/2025

When collaborative courses, several course codes are shown below:

**DVAD29 Utvecklingsprojekt inom distribuerade applikationer, End date: 2025-06-08**





Mean value for each question. Highest value = 4.


	Mean
Supportive Structure	3.6
Varied Teaching	3.2
Discussed the Subject	3.8
Challenging	3.6
Feedback Helped	2.8
Assessment Related to Teaching	3.8
Workload	2.2
Devoted Time	3.8
Prepared in Advance	4.0
Involved in Seminars	3.8
Involved in Lectures	3.5
Increased Interest	3.4

## Results of learning


**All in all, the course was valuable for me.**

*Courses that were considered valuable were related to personal development, acquisition of new knowledge and skills, understanding of something. Higher ratings can refer to students' perceived development (learned a lot, and it was useful). Lower ratings can refer to scanty development of knowledge and skills or not understanding certain themes or their parts, not understanding the necessity and significance of the course, problems in the learning environment.*

	Mean
All in all, the course was valuable for me	4



**How likely would you be to recommend this course to a friend or colleague?**



**Net Promoter Score (NPS) = -25**

Promoters = 0 (0%)

Passives = 3 (75%)

Detractors = 1 (25%)

The Net Promoter Score (NPS) is a metric that measures student experience and predicts the effectiveness of a course. It calculates an NPS score based on a key question using a 0-10 scale, asking how likely students would recommend the course to others. Respondents are grouped into Promoters, Passives, or Detractors based on their score, and the NPS is calculated by subtracting the percentage of Detractors from the percentage of Promoters. The NPS is a core metric for course evaluation programs and is trusted by educational institutions to engage their students and improve their learning experience performance.



**KARLSTAD  
UNIVERSITY**

## **Comments**

### **Course supervisor's comments**

Overall, the feedback is positive, and the changes implemented compared to last year have had a positive impact. About the lab session, it was clearly stated in the beginning what they are good for, in particular, in the beginning, to clarify the requirements. For the same purpose, the online forums could have been used; neither option was utilised by the students. We will discuss the pros and cons of mandatory participation in the lab session and intermediate project reports.

We will look into expanding on the chapter on architecture documentation in the "sister course" DVAD28.

Re comment on using AI to clarify the tasks: I rather recommend to follow the instructions given and clarify requirements with the teacher and lab assistants.