

# PUBLISHED COURSE ANALYSIS



Publishing date: 2018-04-25

A course analysis has been carried out and published by the course convener.

The Karlstad University evaluation tool is owned by the Professional Development Unit and is managed by the systems group for educational administration, Student Centre.

*Data, Power and Ethics, 15 ETCS cr. (MKGB92)*

*Course convener: Theo Röhle*

## Basic LADOK data

Course Code: MKGB92

Application Code: 30007

Semester: VT-18

Start Week: 201804

End Week: 201813

Pace of Study: 100%

Form of Study: Campus

## Course Data


Number of questionnaires answered: 5

Number of first registrations<sup>[1]</sup>: 19

## Changes suggested in the course analysis of the previous course date:


--

1. During the course I developed the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

2. In the examinations, I had the opportunity to demonstrate if I have acquired the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

3. On average, I spent the following number of hours on coursework per week:



- A) More than 40 hours (or more than 20 hrs at 50% study pace, more than 10 hrs at 25% study pace)
- B) Between 30-39 hours (or between 15-19 at 50% study pace, between 8-10 at 25% study pace)
- C) Between 20-29 hours (or between 10-14 at 50% study pace, between 5-7 at 25% study pace)
- D) Less than 20 hours (or less than 10 at 50% study pace, less than 5 at 25% study pace)

4. During the course, I have found that teachers and other staff have been:



- A) Professional and very accommodating
- B) Professional and accommodating
- C) Professional
- D) Deficient

**should also be analysed here. Any effect of joint courses should be commented on.**

It is difficult to draw conclusion from only five answers from 19 participants. From both the students' comments, informal evaluations and the evaluation among the teachers, it appears that the course went well, considering it was taught for the first time. However, the instructions for some assignments have to be made clearer. Also, the group assignment did not provide an ideal opportunity for all students to show their skills, so that assignment is in need of revision.

**Suggestions for changes to the next course date.**

Based on the teachers' evaluation, we should consider switching the order of the sections in the course, so that "Regulation and Policy" follows immediately after "Foundations of Ethics". We should avoid overlaps between the sections. The group assignment should rest on a more formalised set of questions and a more explicit definition of data. Also, it is questionable whether establishing contacts to organisations can be part of the group assignment.

---

1. **Number of first registrations for a course:** First registration = the first time a student registers for a specific course.