

PUBLISHED COURSE ANALYSIS



Publishing date: 2017-07-26

A course analysis has been carried out and published by the course convener.

The Karlstad University evaluation tool is owned by the Professional Development Unit and is managed by the systems group for educational administration, Student Centre.

Physics, Bachelor degree project, 22.5 ETCS cr. (FYGC10)

Course convener: Jürgen Fuchs

Basic LADOK data

Course Code: FYGC10

Application Code: 28991

Semester: VT-17

Start Week: 201709

End Week: 201723

Pace of Study: 75%

Form of Study: Campus

Course Data

Number of questionnaires answered: 0

Number of first registrations^[1]: 1

Changes suggested in the course analysis of the previous course date:

None.

1. During the course I developed the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.



- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

2. In the examinations, I had the opportunity to demonstrate if I have acquired the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

3. On average, I spent the following number of hours on coursework per week:



- A) More than 40 hours (or more than 20 hrs at 50% study pace, more than 10 hrs at 25% study pace)
- B) Between 30-39 hours (or between 15-19 at 50% study pace, between 8-10 at 25% study pace)
- C) Between 20-29 hours (or between 10-14 at 50% study pace, between 5-7 at 25% study pace)
- D) Less than 20 hours (or less than 10 at 50% study pace, less than 5 at 25% study pace)

4. During the course, I have found that teachers and other staff have been:



- A) Professional and very accommodating
- B) Professional and accommodating
- C) Professional
- D) Deficient

should also be analysed here. Any effect of joint courses should be commented on.

Being a degree project, the course is performed on an individualized basis, with details of the implementation strongly adapted to the respective projects. This worked out well.

Only one student took this course (most students choose the alternative option FYGC05). This student did not take part in the survey. A possible explanation of this lack of interest is that it was easy for the student to keep close contact with their supervisor and to communicate any comments directly to the supervisor.

Suggestions for changes to the next course date.

None.

1. **Number of first registrations for a course:** First registration = the first time a student registers for a specific course.