PUBLISHED COURSE ANALYSIS



Publishing date: 2021-11-22

A course analysis has been carried out and published by the course convener.

The Karlstad University evaluation tool is owned by the Professional Development Unit and is managed by the systems group for educational administration.

English for professional purposes: Written communication, 7.5 ECTS cr. (ENGPW1)

Course convener: Ying Wang

Basic LADOK data Course Data


Course Code: ENGPW1 Number of questionnaires answered: 3
Application Code: 38158 Number of first registrations [1]: 13

Semester: HT-21 Start Week: 202135 End Week: 202144 Pace of Study: 50% Form of Study: Campus

Changes suggested in the course analysis of the previous course date:


ua

1. The contents and structure of the course has supported the achievement of the learning outcomes




- A) To a very large extent
- B) To a large extent
- C) To some extent
- D) To a little extent or not at all

The assessments included in the course have given me the opportunity to demonstrate my achievement of the learning outcomes




- A) To a very large extent
- B) To a large extent
- C) To some extent
- D) To a little extent or not at all

3. My workload (including scheduled activities and independent work) during the course has been



- A) 40 hours per week or more (or 20 per week or more for courses given as half-time studies, 10 hours or more for courses.
- B) Between 30 and 39 hours per week (or between 15 and 19 hours for courses given as half-time studies, or between 8
- C) Between 20 and 29 hours per week (or between 10 and 14 hours for courses given as half-time studies, or between 5
- D) Less than 20 hours per week (or less than 10 hours per week for courses given as half-time studies, or less than 5 h

4. During the course, I have experienced the reception from teachers and other staff as professional



- A) To a very large extent
- B) To a large extent
- C) To some extent
- D) To a little extent or not at all

on.

Very positive response from the students about course delivery. The only suggestion for improvement was to add more lectures and assignments. This was probably because the proficiency level of the students this term was in general much higher than that of previous students.

Suggestions for changes to the next course date.

It is perhaps not wise to make a sweeping decision on whether or not the difficulty level of the course should be increased, as we have to consider students of lower proficiency levels as well. However, I will think about having an additional set of assignments (more difficult ones) available and decide on what to use depending on students' needs.

1. **Number of first registrations for a course:** First registration = the first time a student registers for a specific course.