



Final report

VT2025_GVGA44_46788_Introduktion till genusvetenskap IV: Epistemologi, kropp och kultur


First time registered students: 11
Answer Count: 1
Answer Frequency: 9.09%

The course evaluation could be answered during the period:

07/06/2025 - 21/06/2025

When collaborative courses, several course codes are shown below:

GVGA44 Introduktion till genusvetenskap IV: Epistemologi, kropp och kultur, End date: 2025-06-08





Mean value for each question. Highest value = 4.


	Mean
Supportive Structure	4.0
Varied Teaching	4.0
Discussed the Subject	4.0
Challenging	3.0
Feedback Helped	3.0
Assessment Related to Teaching	4.0
Workload	2.0
Devoted Time	3.0
Prepared in Advance	4.0
Involved in Seminars	4.0
Involved in Lectures	4.0
Increased Interest	4.0

Results of learning

All in all, the course was valuable for me.


Courses that were considered valuable were related to personal development, acquisition of new knowledge and skills, understanding of something. Higher ratings can refer to students' perceived development (learned a lot, and it was useful). Lower ratings can refer to scanty development of knowledge and skills or not understanding certain themes or their parts, not understanding the necessity and significance of the course, problems in the learning environment.

	Mean
All in all, the course was valuable for me	4



How likely would you be to recommend this course to a friend or colleague?

Net Promoter Score (NPS) = 0



Promoters = 0 (0%)

Passives = 1 (100%)

Detractors = 0 (0%)

The Net Promoter Score (NPS) is a metric that measures student experience and predicts the effectiveness of a course. It calculates an NPS score based on a key question using a 0-10 scale, asking how likely students would recommend the course to others. Respondents are grouped into Promoters, Passives, or Detractors based on their score, and the NPS is calculated by subtracting the percentage of Detractors from the percentage of Promoters. The NPS is a core metric for course evaluation programs and is trusted by educational institutions to engage their students and improve their learning experience performance.

Comments

Course supervisor's comments

Since only one student responded the survey it is hard to make an accurate conclusion. This student though liked the course, but commented that the reading list could be more demanding even though the literature was very good and that the discussions could be more in-depth. As a teacher, I agree with this, but the depth of the discussions is also about the students' effort. So increasing students' own responsibility is something that should be developed, just as the reading list can be developed.