

PUBLISHED COURSE ANALYSIS



Publishing date: 2016-04-19

A course analysis has been carried out and published by the course convener.

The Karlstad University evaluation tool is owned by the Professional Development Unit and is managed by the systems group for educational administration, Student Centre.

Spanish I: Written Proficiency, 7.5 ETCS cr. (ESGA20)

Course convener: Vigdis Ahnfelt

Basic LADOK data

Course Code: ESGA20

Application Code: 26502

Semester: HT-15

Start Week: 201545

End Week: 201603

Pace of Study: 50%

Form of Study: Campus


Course Data

Number of questionnaires answered: 0

Number of first registrations^[1]: 4


Changes suggested in the course analysis of the previous course date:

1. During the course I developed the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

2. In the examinations, I had the opportunity to demonstrate if I have acquired the knowledge, skills and other competencies described in the learning outcomes.




- A) To a very great extent
- B) To a great extent
- C) To a certain extent
- D) To a very little extent/Not at all

3. On average, I spent the following number of hours on coursework per week:



- A) More than 40 hours (or more than 20 hrs at 50% study pace, more than 10 hrs at 25% study pace)
- B) Between 30-39 hours (or between 15-19 at 50% study pace, between 8-10 at 25% study pace)
- C) Between 20-29 hours (or between 10-14 at 50% study pace, between 5-7 at 25% study pace)
- D) Less than 20 hours (or less than 10 at 50% study pace, less than 5 at 25% study pace)

4. During the course, I have found that teachers and other staff have been:



- A) Professional and very accommodating
- B) Professional and accommodating
- C) Professional
- D) Deficient

should also be analysed here. Any effect of joint courses should be commented on.

Only one student attended the course, therefore no response on this evaluation. But according to the oral evaluation from the campus student, the course has focused too much on distance students. My comment is that as a teacher I always try to involve all the students, no matter if they are campus or distance category. This is the first time I we receive this kind of comment but I will try to make it clear from the beginning that active participation also depends on the students.

Suggestions for changes to the next course date.

-
1. **Number of first registrations for a course:** First registration = the first time a student registers for a specific course.